SINGLE BENCH

(1)

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 6146 /2005

PETITIONER : Kamal Dhar Patel
Son of Shri Thanda Ram Patel
Aged about 61 years
Resident of Jhul Kadam
Shakti, District Janjgir Champa
Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 6212/05
Presented by Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal
dated 3/12/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENT :
1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary,
Department of School Education
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2. Director
Public Instructions
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3. District Education Officer
Janjgir-Champa,
Chhattisgarh

RECEIVED COPY
3.12.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS

XI-HC-22

(84)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. NO. 6146/2005 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

9-12-2005

Shri Sanjay Kumar Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State, on advance copy.
Heard.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred as per Annexure P-1 dated 22-11-2005 and submits that only 7 months are left for his retirement. He further submits that transfer has been shown to be on his request but he did not make any such request. He further submits that the transfer has been made during ban period.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order within 15 days from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

Counsel further submits that the petitioner has not been relieved and he may be allowed to continue till the decision of the representation.

It is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved, he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P.No. 4884/2005 and I.A. No. 10170/2005 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge